

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 18-1525V

(not to be published)

ANNE M. ALEXANDER, *personal
representative of the* ESTATE OF
MARILYN OSBORNE ROCK,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: November 15, 2019

Special Processing Unit (SPU);
Attorney's Fees and Costs

Bridget Candace McCullough, Muller Brazil, LLP, Dresher, PA, for Petitioner.

Heather Lynn Pearlman, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS¹

On October 2, 2018, Petitioner filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, [42 U.S.C. §300aa-10](#), *et seq.*,² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleges that Marilyn Osborne Rock suffered Guillain-Barre Syndrome ("GBS") as a result of an influenza ("flu") vaccine administered on October 10, 2016. Petition at 1. On October 17, 2019, a decision was issued awarding compensation to Petitioner based on the parties' stipulation. ([ECF No. 24](#).)

¹ I intend to post this decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website. **This means the decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access. Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. [44 U.S.C. § 3501](#) note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services).

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, [100 Stat. 3755](#). Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of [42 U.S.C. § 300aa \(2012\)](#).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, dated November 2, 2019, ([ECF No. 29](#)), requesting a total award of \$11,126.92 (representing \$8,805.90 in fees and \$2,321.02 in costs). In accordance with General Order #9, Petitioner's counsel represents that Petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. (*Id.* at 2). Respondent reacted to the motion on November 8, 2019 indicating that he is satisfied that the statutory requirements for an award of attorney's fees and costs are met in this case and defers to the Court's discretion to determine the amount to be awarded. ([ECF No. 30](#)). On November 8, 2019, by email correspondence, Petitioner's counsel notified the staff attorney managing this case that Petitioner did not intend to file a reply. Counsel for Respondent was copied on all correspondence.

I have reviewed the billing records submitted with Petitioner's request. In my experience, the request appears reasonable, and I find no cause to reduce the requested hours or rates.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs. § 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT Petitioner's Motion for attorney's fees and costs. I award a total of **\$11,126.92** (representing \$8,805.90 in attorney's fees and \$2,321.02 in costs) as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel, Bridget Candace McCullough, Esq. In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk shall enter judgment in accordance with this decision.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran
Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.